MR. CAMERON, EXAM. BY MAYOR PORTER

- 1 Curragh's area was reduced a little bit in the Westville
- 2 area. Other than that, it was the same package that all
- 3 the other companies had.
- 4 Q. Mr. Cameron, this doesn't entirely agree with what I
- saw in the documents, but to continue on, can you tell us
- 6 when this arrangement was made public, that the deep coal
- 7 and the close-to-surface coal was a part of this leasing
- 8 arrangement?
- 9 A. Mr. Porter, no company would come in -- and Suncor
- 10 said the same thing, and I think there's evidence in the
- 11 Department of Natural Resources. No company would come
- 12 in here and not have the rights to essentially all the
- 13 coal. They're not -- no company was going to involve
- themselves. Suncor said that. So it's not unusual.
- 15 You're not going to come in and allow somebody to come in
- 16 after you and start in competition with you.
- 17 So this was an issue that was raised by Suncor at
- 18 the start and dealt with. And the only thing that I can
- 19 recall is I believe that Curragh was told they couldn't
- 20 have quite as much. But there's a spot over in
- 21 Westville, maybe the Bullpen area or something? No, it
- 22 wasn't. There's a spot over in Westville that other
- companies actually had the rights to that wasn't given to
- 24 Curragh. That's my understanding.
- 25 O. Well, Mr. Cameron, on the basis of the coal contract