

MR. CAMERON, EXAM. BY MR. MERRICK

1 proposition. And that must be -- again, I must be
2 telling you the truth because they had to go out and buy
3 200,000 tonnes of low-sulphur coal just recently.

4 Q. I take it that if such a threat were made that it
5 was not one that you could have accomplished anyway, is
6 that fair?

7 A. I'm not really sure.

8 Q. You have no idea how you could have changed the SO₂
9 regulations --

10 A. No, I think --

11 Q. -- unilaterally?

12 A. I think the Department of Environment already have
13 plant-by-plant rules, so maybe -- but there was no need
14 to change them. They couldn't meet what we had. So why
15 change them? They --

16 Q. Did you --

17 A. -- couldn't meet what we had with his proposition.

18 Q. Did you ever say to him that there was no way
19 Trenton was going to burn DEVCO coal, period?

20 A. I might have said that. And, Mr. Merrick, to
21 support that, we signed a letter of intent back in '86,
22 '87, and then again when Curragh came in. So, clearly,
23 the intent must have been not to burn DEVCO coal at
24 Trenton 6. We had a pollution problem there.

25 I don't understand why people find it so difficult