

MR. CAMERON, EXAM. BY MR. MERRICK

1 A. Commissioner --

2 COMMISSIONER Thank you.

3 A. -- I'd be very happy to abide by that, and I'll the
4 questions in the tone that the -- the same tone that the
5 questions are given.

6 COMMISSIONER Thank you, Mr. Cameron. Mr. Merrick?

7 MR. MERRICK Let's begin, Mr. Cameron, with the very
8 beginning of this project and that was the establishment
9 of the mine. I want to just get it clear whether the
10 justification for Trenton 6 was to reduce sulphur
11 emissions. I take it it was not?

12 A. No, that's dead wrong, it was. You had Trenton 5
13 with no pollution controls on for sulphur.

14 Q. Yes.

15 A. It had pollution controls on for ash. You had
16 Trenton 3 and 4 and Trenton 1 and 2; two 10s and two 20
17 megawatts. They had no controls whatsoever. So the
18 decision was to get rid of those four smaller units and
19 build a new power plant that would have ash controls on
20 it and burn low sulphur coal. And burn low sulphur coal
21 in Trenton 5, and then you would have a real reduction in
22 sulphur emissions. That was the plan right from day one.

23 If it wasn't, why wouldn't we build another Point
24 Aconi, or why wouldn't we build what New Brunswick was
25 doing? They were building scrubbers at the very same