

MR. ROGERS, EXAM. BY MR. TRAVES

1 Q. Yes. The Government would want to ensure through  
2 this reporting procedure that the project remained  
3 technically viable?

4 A. No, the responsibility for the technical viability  
5 and oversight was provincial.

6 Q. No, I'm --

7 A. -- and it's --

8 Q. No, I --

9 A. -- up to the Province to make those calls. Here you  
10 are again trying to lead the testimony in a direction  
11 that says somehow the Federal Government had some  
12 oversight responsibility that was -- that superseded the  
13 roles and responsibilities and accountabilities of others  
14 that are established constitutionally. And I just will  
15 not go down that line of investigation.

16 Q. Mr. Rogers, you indicated yesterday that the highest  
17 level of government scrutiny is reserved for contribution  
18 agreements. You indicated that this is close-in control  
19 and compliance monitoring, very much a part of the more  
20 interventionist level of the Federal Government system,  
21 you indicated. And in your interview you referred to  
22 this as a very rigorous, bureaucratic, heavy-handed  
23 demonstration that you have done what you said you would  
24 do at each stage.

25 A. Uh-huh.