

MR. ROGERS, EXAM. BY MR. MERRICK

1 sulphur dioxide emissions. This also requires some  
2 elaboration.

3 The Canada/Nova Scotia agreement on sulphur  
4 emissions targeted levels that would be accomplished at a  
5 certain date. I think it was 129,000 tonnes, and the  
6 date was, I think, by 1995 or something in the future.

7 At a point in the discussion with Minister Cameron,  
8 I mentioned that it was possible to accomplish the same  
9 level of emissions from Trenton 6, the new one, by a  
10 judicious mixture of coal from DEVCO, mixing low-sulphur  
11 anthracite coal that they had with washed higher-sulphur  
12 coal. It would produce a very low sulphur content coal  
13 for burning.

14 This was one of those occasions when we had a rather  
15 difficult conversation, and at that time he said, "There  
16 is no way we are going to burn DEVCO coal in Trenton 6.  
17 And if we're going to get into that kind of an argument,  
18 we'll change the SO<sub>2</sub> regulations."

19 So not understanding the implications of that, I  
20 took it back to Ottawa, and I said there is a way they  
21 can close out DEVCO and -- from compliance with the  
22 contract with DEVCO by changing the SO<sub>2</sub> emission levels  
23 from where they are now in the new accord. And this  
24 would be a way then in which they could further  
25 compromise the forward planning for DEVCO in a rather