

MR. ROGERS, EXAM. BY MR. MERRICK

1 to reveal the formal document that committed this, that
2 his word should be sufficient and that it shouldn't be
3 challenged. In the final analysis, I saw the document
4 because I insisted on doing that. And he realized, I
5 think, that the file wasn't going to proceed until I did
6 see the document.

7 Q. In our interview you referred to Cameron and MacKay
8 as "good cop, bad cop." What did you mean by that?

9 A. Well, in -- on occasion, Minister Cameron would
10 become quite testy, and he would try to use an
11 intemperate response as a means of avoiding whatever the
12 rest of the discussion was.

13 And Minister MacKay on other occasions would be
14 quite conciliatory after I'd had such a conversation and
15 would go and try to lay oil on troubled waters. So that
16 would be the basis of my perception.

17 On other occasions, Minister Cameron was very
18 forthcoming and very agreeable to deal with. So it was
19 possibly strategic in terms of dealing with me.

20 Q. I take it that, in essence, there was negotiations
21 going on in which, in a sense, the Province of Nova
22 Scotia was one of the parties to it as well as Curragh
23 itself?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. In fact, the DEVCO contractual arrangements were a